

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMAN OFFICE

UNITED STATES TAXABLE REPORT OF COMMERCE
, United States Patent End Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20221

www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/343,517	06/30/1999	ROBERT BURNETT	A7489	8018
75	90 12/12/2002			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200373213			EXAMINER	
			FERRIS, DERRICK W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2663	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Instruments Examiner (I

(Rev. 12/01)

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WASHINGTON, DC 20231

Paper No.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 The amendment filed on CFR 1.121, as amended on September 8, 2000 (see 65 Fed. Reg. 54603, Sept. 8, 2000, and 1238 O.G. 77, Sept. 19, 2000). In order for the amendment to be compliant, applicant must supply the following omissions or corrections in response to this notice. THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ARE REQUIRED FOR COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 1.121 (APPLICANT NEED NOT RE-SUBMIT THE ENTIRE AMENDMENT): 1. A clean version of the replacement paragraph(s)/section(s) is required. See 37 CFR 1.121(b)(1)(ii). 2. A marked-up version of the replacement paragraph(s)/section(s) is required. See 37 CFR 1.121(b) (1) (iii). 3. A clean version of the amended claim(s) is required. See 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1)(i). 4. A marked-up version of the amended claim(s) is required. See 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1)(ii). Explanation: (4) E: Please provide specific details for correction to assist the applicant. For example, "the clean version of claim 6 is missing.") For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/olia/pbg/sampleaf.pdf. A condensed version of a sample amendment format is attached. PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT: Unless applicant supplies the omission or correction to the preliminary amendment in compliance with revised 37 CFR 1.121 noted above within ONE MONTH of the mail date of this letter, examination on the merits may commence without entry of the originally proposed preliminary amendment. This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and this ONE MONTH time limit is not extendable. AMENDMENT AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION: Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction noted above in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Changes to the Patent Rules

October 24, 2000

Volume 1, Issue 3

This is the third in a series of Patent News Bulletins to assist you in keeping up to date with significant rule changes which affect your area.

Keep this copy to use as a bookmark for your present MPEP, or view this bulletin again on the USPTO Website.

Simplified Amendment Practice.

Replacement paragraphs/sections/claims to be used. 37 CFR 1.121

The rule package
"Changes to the Patent
Business Goals - Final
Rule," published in the
Federal Register on
September 8, 2000, 65
Fed. Reg. 54603 (Sept.
8, 2000), and the Officia

Gazette on S September 19, 2000 1238 Off Griz Pai Of Jose 77 (September 19, 2000). The PBG 2012 ackage makes a minis

of revisions to Tille 3

The entire final rule in be found at the USP T Websile at http://

Areas and individuals of primarity affected by this rule change include:
(1) Patent Examiners and Tech Support Staff in the Technology Centers
(2) Office of Patent Publication

Any questions related to this change in practice should be directed to Joe Narcavage, Special Projects Ext., (703-305-1795) OPLA. Mandatory compliance with the revised rule is not required until March 1, 2001. It is suggested that applicants adopt the revised procedures on or after November 7, 2000, in order to adjust to the changes in amendment practice.

Under the new amendment practice, amendments to the specification must be made by the submission of clean new or replacement paragraph(s), section(s), specification, or claim(s). This practice will provide a specification (including claims) in clean, or substantially clean, form that can be effectively captured and converted by optical character recognition (OCR) scanning during the patent printing process.

The new practice requires applicant to provide, in addition to the clean version of a replacement paragraph/section/claim, a marked-up version using applicant's choice of a conventional

marking system to indicate the changes, which will aid the examiner in identifying the changes that have been made. The marked-up version must be based on the previous version and indicate (by markings) how the previous version has been modified to produce the clean version submitted in the current amendment. The term "previous version" means the version of record in the application as originally filed or from a previously entered amendment.

The following format is suggested in an amendment paper: (1) a clean version of each replacement paragraph/section/claim with clear instructions for entry; (2) starting on a separate page, any remarks arguments (3) CFR 1.111; and (3)

starting on a separate page, a marked-up version entitled "Version with markings to show changes made."

Applicants will also be able to submit a clean set of all pending claims, consolidating all previous versions of pending claims from a series of separate amendments into a single clean version in a single amendment paper. This submission of a clean version of all of the pending claims will be construed as directing the cancellation of all previous versions of any pending claims. No marked-up version will be required to accompany the clean version where no changes other than the consolidation are being made.

The amended rule encourages issuance of applications with an examiner's amendment without practitioners/applicants having to file a formal amendment. Additions or deletions of subject matter in the specification, including

the claims, may continue to be made in an examiner's amendment at the time of allowance by instructions to make any change at a precise location in the specification or the claims. An examiner's amendment may incorporate a printed copy of a fax or e-mail amendment submitted by applicant. Only that part of the e-mail or fax directed to a clean version, or a portion of, a paragraph/claim to be added should be printed and attached to the examiner's amendment, with a paper copy of the entire e-mail or fax being entered in the file. The electronic version of the e-mail is not required to be saved once the printed e-mail (and any attachments) becomes part of the application file record.

Amendment by
paragraph/claim
replacement in clean form.

MPEP 714+, & 1302104 ...

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

A Brief Summary of Some Significant Rule Changes

*Unless otherwise specificed in the rule, the effective date for the PBG-FINAL RULE is November 7, 2000.

Amendment Practice (37 CFR 1.121)

- Specification/Claims
 - Amendment by paragraph replacement or rewritten claim in clean form
 - Marked-up version showing changes must be supplied

See § 1.121 Slides on PBG-FINAL RULE Webpage for suggested amendment FORMAT (Optional now; mandatory March 1, 2001)

Small Entity Status (37 CFR 1.27) - FORMS NO LONGER REQUIRED (Eff. Sept. 8, 2000):

 Mere written assertion (é.g., use check box on Application Transmittal Forms) is acceptable

Abstract and Title Length (37 CFR 1.72)

- Abstract now limited to 150 words (PBG)
- Title now limited to 500 characters (AIPA)

Application Data Sheet (ADS) (37 CFR 1.76) NEW

 Use of ADS encouraged for more accurate capture of bibliographic data. Data in ADS not needed in declaration.

After Allowance Practice (37 CFR 1.85(c) and 1.136)

 No extensions of time permitted to file corrected or formal drawings

Elimination of Issue Fee Preauthorizations (37 CFR 1.311)

• Preauthorizations prior to Notice of Allowance no longer permitted

Rocket Docket Established for Designs (37 CFR 1.155)

• Extra submissions plus \$900 fee is required

Proof of Authority of Legal Representative (37 CFR 1.44). THIS RULE HAS BEEN DELETED. (Eff. Sept. 8, 2000):

 Oath/Dec. (§1.63) should identify legal rep for deceased/ incapacitated inventor.

Parts of Applications on CD-R or CD-ROM (37 CFR 1.52(e), 1.58; 1.96 & 1.82.)

Lame lables compilier program listings, and bio-

Patent Business Goald Final Rule

65 Fed. Reg. 54604 (September 8, 2000)

1238 Off. Gar. Pat. Office 77 (September 19, 2000)



USPTO's PBG-FINAL RULE webpage has helpful related information at one location: http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/olia/pbg/index.html)

This site includes:
a Listing of Affected Rules,
Training & Implementation
Materials including Training
Slides, Q & A's, Summaries,
Effective Date Chart, Forms
Changed by Recent Rules, etc.

Contact:

Bob Spar (703) 308-5107 or Hiram Bernstein (703) 305-8713 for any PBG Change

Joe Narcavage (703) 305-1795 for 37 CFR 1.121 Amendment Practice Changes

Eugenia Jones (7.03) 306 5386 Or 37-GFR (1.27 Small Entity) Granges